

Item No: 17	Classification: OPEN	Committee: HOUSING AND REGENERATION SCRUTINY SUB-COMMITTEE	Date: 2 APRIL 2003
From: HEAD OF CORPORATE STRATEGY		Title of Report: EXECUTIVE REVIEW WITH PORFOLIO MEMBER FOR REGENERATION	

1. **PURPOSE**

- 1.1 To ascertain whether Members of the Sub-Committee wish to conduct an Executive Review with the Executive Member for Regeneration at an additional meeting in late April.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 That Members arrange a meeting in April to conduct an Executive Review session with the Executive Member for Regeneration & Economic Development.
- 2.2 That Members consider raising written questions in advance with the Executive Member for detailed response at the meeting.
- 2.3 That Members consider the attached information when considering questions to raise with the Executive Member for Regeneration & Economic Development:

Appendix A) Regeneration Performance Indicators (as reported to the Executive on 11 March 2003)

Appendix B) Improving Performance on Processing Planning Applications (report to the Executive on 11 March 2003)

Appendix C) Major Regeneration Projects report to the Executive (25 March 2003)

3. **BACKGROUND**

- 3.1 As part of its terms of reference, each Scrutiny Sub-Committee has the responsibility for scrutinising the performance of the Executive Members relevant to its remit. It is the expectation of Overview and Scrutiny Committee that each Scrutiny Sub-Committee should interview the relevant Executive Members at least once each year.

3.2 The format of the interview can be designed to meet the requirements of the Sub-Committee, but there are a number of key areas of activity on which Members may wish to raise questions:

- The performance of the services that come under the Member's Executive Responsibility – this may be measured by performance indicators
- Issues arising from the findings of MORI 2002
- Following up the recommendations or progress made against areas reviewed by the Sub-Committee
- Departmental performance in taking forward the Council's strategic or corporate priorities

3.3 If Members of the Sub-Committee wish to raise written questions in advance with the Executive Member for Regeneration they should submit question to officers at least one week in advance of the meeting date.

4. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS/CONCURRENT REPORT OF THE BOROUGH SOLICITOR AND SECRETARY – LEGAL ISSUES

4.1 There are no specific legal implications raised by this report.

5. EQUAL OPPORTUNITIES IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no specific Equal Opportunities implications raised by this report.

Lead Officer: Ian Hughes, Head of Corporate Strategy

Report Author: Robert Bollen, Corporate Strategy Officer